

Affixed CR Rs. 3/-

IN THE COURT OF THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ERNAKULAM
Present : Sri. S.Ajikumar, M.A.,L.L.B,Chief Judicial Magistrate.

Dated this the 4th day of March, 2017.

S.T. CASE NO: 09/16

Complainant : Breau of Indian Standards, rep.by its Scientist-B, Sandeep S.Kumar.

(By Dy.D.P, Ernakulam).

Accused : 1. M/s.Hikes Enterprises,m 9/15C, Kozhipuram road, Thathapally Post,Paravur,Ernkulam.
2. Jipson Joseph, Managing Partner – do -
3. Gigi Jose, Partner – do -
4.Nowshad Kassin Kunju,Partner – do -
5. Kiran Chandran K.C.Partner – do -
6. Ms.Shimnamol M.M, Accountant – do -
7. Ms.Sreelakshmi M.N,Chemist – do -
8. Ms.Rintu Paul Dominic,Microbiologist (Trainee) – do -
(By Adv. Sri.S.Abhilash).

Offence : U/s.11,14 r/w.33 and 34(1) of the Burea of Indian Standards Act,1986.

Plea : Guilty.

Finding : Guilty U/s.11(1) &11(2) r/w.33(1) of the Burea of Indian Standards Act,1986.

Sentence or Order : Accused Nos.1 to 8 are sentenced to pay a fine of Rs.1000/-each U/s.11(1) &11(2) r/w.33(1) of the Burea of Indian Standards Act,1986, I.D S.I for one day each.

This case having been finally heard today, the court, the same day delivered the following:

JUDGMENT

The above complaint is filed by the Breau of Indian Standards against the accused Nos.1 to 8 for the offences U/s.11,14 r/w.33 and 34(1) of the Burea of Indian Standards Act,1986.



2. Prosecution alleges that while the complainant/Bureau of Indian Standards team inspected the factory of the accused namely Hikes Enterprises, Paravur, Ernakulam on 15.3.16 at 4 p.m and found that 20 liter PET jars filled with PDW bearing ISI mark and ML number of other PDW licences are being loaded into vehicle No.KL 41 J3838 (TATA Ace Zip Diesel) for dispatch. The total number of 52 PET jars of 20 liter capacity filled with PDW bearing ISI mark and CML number of other PDW licences are stored within the premises of the firm. The inspection revealed that the 1st accused firm was used brand name and license number of other PDW licences. Thus the accused are alleged to have committed the offence U/s.U/s.11(1) &11(2) r/w.33(1) of the Bureau of Indian Standards Act,1986.

3. Accused persons absent. Counsel represented. Copies of prosecution records were furnished to the counsel for the accused. When the particulars of offence was read over and explained to the counsel, accused pleaded guilty through the counsel. Plea is found to be voluntary in nature and the same is accepted.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I find that the accused Nos.1 to 8 are sentenced to pay a fine of Rs.1000/- each U/s.11(1) r/w.33(1) of the Bureau of Indian Standards Act,1986. They are also sentenced to pay a fine of Rs.1000/- each U/s.11(2) r/w.33(1) of the Bureau of Indian Standards Act,1986 in default of payment of fine they shall undergo simple imprisonment for one day each.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me in open Court this the 4th March, 2017.


Chief Judicial Magistrate.



// True Copy //



